

Do you practice this exactly as stated?

Is this practice done for all products supported by the architecture?

Operational Definition	What is done to implement this item?
Architecture customers and suppliers have a high level of confidence in the timing and content of architecture releases	
Explicit activities are coordinated via rhythm with no explicit communication	
Architecture releases are scheduled and incorporated into product plans	
Features with high technical risk are considered for deferral and large features are broken-up into the appropriate level of granularity so they do not hold up release of key features	
Regular software builds (e.g. weekly, or daily) are compiled and tested before the next scheduled build	
Rhythm permeates organizations related to the architecture, e.g. marketing, sales, engineering, etc.	

Success

How does the principle contribute to overall success?

Warning Signs

What are the warning signs that this principle is **not** in place?

Before/After

Please share a story that illustrates how your organization is different for a particular individual now as opposed to before the principle was in place. (e.g. before we would have to work 60 hour weeks...now we get to see our families)

Measurements

What measurements and trends have been achieved that you attribute to the principle?

Confidence

How confident are you that these measurements are an accurate depiction of the performance of the organization? explain.

Steps	Who	Forces	Obstacles
What steps does your organization take to get started with this	Who is responsible for	What forces drove you to implement the principle in the first place? How were those forces addressed?	Once you got underway with this principle, what obstacles did you encounter?

Are there special considerations necessary for implementing the principle at any of these stages?

Concept	Planning	Implementation	Maintenance